PH1017: REASON AND ARGUMENT Winter Term 2010 15 Credits; 12 Weeks

LECTURES: TIME, PLACE

Mondays	14:00 – 15:00, NK6 (300)
Fridays	14:00 - 15:00, Arts Lecture Theatre (600)

<u>Instructors</u>	
Aaron Cotnoir	Dylan Dodd
Office:	Office:
Office Hours:	Office Hours: Mondays, 11:15-12 & 17-18:30
Phone #:	Phone #:
E-mail: <aaron.cotnoir@uconn.edu></aaron.cotnoir@uconn.edu>	E-mail: <dylan.dodd@gmail.com></dylan.dodd@gmail.com>

	<u>Tutors</u>	
Dylan Dodd	Nathan Jezzi	Andrews Woodhall
(see above)	Office:	Office:
	Office Hours:	Office Hours:
	E-mail: <n.jezzi@abdn.ac.uk></n.jezzi@abdn.ac.uk>	E-mail: <a.c.woodhall.06@aberdeen.ac.uk></a.c.woodhall.06@aberdeen.ac.uk>

What This Course is About

This course isn't really about reasoning or arguing. Rather, it's about *logic* and *probability*. But with both logic and probability, we are studying *arguments* – a series of statements where one statement (the conclusion) is inferred from others (the premises). And in both reasoning and making arguments in daily life, we do infer conclusions from other things we believe. For this reason, knowing something about these two things will enable you to reason and argue more clearly and better.

We'll have two lectures per week. You also must sign up for one tutorial a week. Attendance at both the lectures and tutorials is crucial if you want to succeed in this course. We'll also assign problems for you to work through. Although they won't be assessed, if you don't do them you'll do poorly in this course. Mastering the material in this course requires practice.

The course divides into two parts. The first six weeks will be about logic, and Aaron Cotnoir will give all the lectures. The second six weeks will be about probability, and Dylan Dodd will give the lectures. You'll need to buy two books, one for the first part and one for the second part of the course. There will also be two class handouts you'll need to study.

Books to be purchased at Blackwell's

Greg Restall, *Logic: An Introduction*. Routledge. Ian Hacking, *An Introduction to Probability and Inductive Logic*. Cambridge University Press.

Assessment

There will be one two hour cumulative final examination for this course, which will determine 100% of your mark.

COURSE SCHEDULE BY WEEK

Wk# date

1	27/9	Propositions and arguments, part 1	Restall, ch. 1
	1/10	Propositions and arguments, part 2	Handout 1
\mathbf{c}	4/10	Logical connectives: conjunction, disjunction, negation	Restall, ch. 2
Z	8/10	Logical connectives: material conditional	
2	11/10	Connective tables and compounds	Ch. 3
3	15/10	Checking for truth and validity	
1	18/10	Full semantics for CPL	Handout 2
4	22/10	Venn diagrams	
4	25/10	Connective rules and scope	Ch. 4
3	29/10	Checking for truth and validity	
6	1/11	Rules for connectives	Ch. 7
0	5/11	Proof Strategies	
•	J/11	Tibbi Budtegies	
7	8/11	Probability/Inductive logic: introduction, part 1	Hacking, chs. 1-3
7	8/11 12/11	Probability/Inductive logic: introduction, part 1 Probability/Inductive logic: introduction, part 2	Hacking, chs. 1-3 Ch. 4
7 0	8/11 12/11 15/11	Probability/Inductive logic: introduction, part 1 Probability/Inductive logic: introduction, part 2 Conditional probability and "learning from experience"	Hacking, chs. 1-3 Ch. 4 Ch. 5
7 8	8/11 12/11 15/11 19/11	Probability/Inductive logic: introduction, part 1 Probability/Inductive logic: introduction, part 2 Conditional probability and "learning from experience" Review	Hacking, chs. 1-3 Ch. 4 Ch. 5 Ch. 6
7 8 0	8/11 12/11 15/11 19/11 22/11	Probability/Inductive logic: introduction, part 1 Probability/Inductive logic: introduction, part 2 Conditional probability and "learning from experience" Review Bayes Rule and "learning from experience", part 1	Hacking, chs. 1-3 Ch. 4 Ch. 5 Ch. 6 Ch. 7
7 8 9	8/11 12/11 15/11 19/11 22/11 26/11	Probability/Inductive logic: introduction, part 1 Probability/Inductive logic: introduction, part 2 Conditional probability and "learning from experience" Review Bayes Rule and "learning from experience", part 1 Bayes Rule and "learning from experience", part 2	Hacking, chs. 1-3 Ch. 4 Ch. 5 Ch. 6 Ch. 7 Review; do problems
7 8 9	8/11 12/11 15/11 19/11 22/11 26/11 29/11	Probability/Inductive logic: introduction, part 1 Probability/Inductive logic: introduction, part 2 Conditional probability and "learning from experience" Review Bayes Rule and "learning from experience", part 1 Bayes Rule and "learning from experience", part 2 Probability and decision making, part 1	Hacking, chs. 1-3 Ch. 4 Ch. 5 Ch. 6 Ch. 7 Review; do problems Ch. 8
7 8 9 10	8/11 12/11 15/11 19/11 22/11 26/11 29/11 3/12	Probability/Inductive logic: introduction, part 1 Probability/Inductive logic: introduction, part 2 Conditional probability and "learning from experience" Review Bayes Rule and "learning from experience", part 1 Bayes Rule and "learning from experience", part 2 Probability and decision making, part 1 Probability and decision making, part 2	Hacking, chs. 1-3 Ch. 4 Ch. 5 Ch. 6 Ch. 7 Review; do problems Ch. 8 Ch. 9
7 8 9 10	3/11 8/11 12/11 15/11 19/11 22/11 26/11 29/11 3/12 6/12	Probability/Inductive logic: introduction, part 1 Probability/Inductive logic: introduction, part 2 Conditional probability and "learning from experience" Review Bayes Rule and "learning from experience", part 1 Bayes Rule and "learning from experience", part 2 Probability and decision making, part 1 Probability and decision making, part 2 Probability and decision making, part 3	Hacking, chs. 1-3 Ch. 4 Ch. 5 Ch. 6 Ch. 7 Review; do problems Ch. 8 Ch. 9 Ch. 10
7 8 9 10 11	8/11 12/11 15/11 19/11 22/11 26/11 29/11 3/12 6/12 10/12	Probability/Inductive logic: introduction, part 1 Probability/Inductive logic: introduction, part 2 Conditional probability and "learning from experience" Review Bayes Rule and "learning from experience", part 1 Bayes Rule and "learning from experience", part 2 Probability and decision making, part 1 Probability and decision making, part 2 Probability and decision making, part 3 Review material on probability and decision making	Hacking, chs. 1-3 Ch. 4 Ch. 5 Ch. 6 Ch. 7 Review; do problems Ch. 8 Ch. 9 Ch. 10 Review; do problems
7 8 9 10 11	3/11 8/11 12/11 15/11 19/11 22/11 26/11 29/11 3/12 6/12 10/12 13/12	Probability/Inductive logic: introduction, part 1 Probability/Inductive logic: introduction, part 2 Conditional probability and "learning from experience" Review Bayes Rule and "learning from experience", part 1 Bayes Rule and "learning from experience", part 2 Probability and decision making, part 1 Probability and decision making, part 2 Probability and decision making, part 3 Review material on probability and decision making Different kinds of probabilities	Hacking, chs. 1-3 Ch. 4 Ch. 5 Ch. 6 Ch. 7 Review; do problems Ch. 8 Ch. 9 Ch. 10 Review; do problems Ch. 11

Learning Outcomes (this applies to the first half of the course, not so much the second)

Knowledge and Understanding of:

Key notions in formal and informal reasoning.

Intellectual skills - be able to

Reconstruct arguments and recognize defects arising out of their logical structure (invalidity). Provide counterexamples.

Identify common logical fallacies

Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the nature of valid and invalid reasoning

Demonstrate understanding of some key issues and arguments in formal and philosophical logic

Exploit and appropriately apply logico-philosophical terminology

Translate arguments in natural language into the language of propositional logic, construct formal proofs of sequents of propositional logic

Conduct comparative truth-table tests to determine the validity/invalidity of sequents of propositional logic.

Practical Skills – be able to Engage in disciplined discussion with others Marshall complex information Engage in autonomous and self-motivated learning Think creatively, self-critically and independently Use the library and relevant C&IT software/hardware

Transferable Skills – be able to

Recognize argumentative flaws in natural language discourse (both oral and written)

Identify and construct rigorous arguments

In recognizing the form of arguments, think critically about a range of topics regardless of subject matter. Propose counterexamples to invalid reasoning patterns.